

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	It"s is possibly legally compliant but that does not justify what is proposed. I presume there will be a question / section for me to respond to that
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	The questions are yes or no. This has been prepared too black & white. There are far reaching consequences to every action. it appears that this survey has been prepared so that there is simply one direction and if the consequences are negative, you don"t appear to want to know at this stage...

<b>to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Mot homes have people who drive. More houses = more cars. More cars need more fuel and somewhere to park. The A56 is now a disaster zone as people thought it would improve Prestwich. Rush hour traffic, parking and people who feel they are more important than anyone else is proving a nightmare, emergency services can't efficiently manoeuvre as sometimes have nowhere to pull in. Polluting the atmosphere due to stationary vehicles is damaging health. Mental health has become a greater problem either whilst driving, sitting in traffic or even trying to walk up / down Bury New Road (A56).
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Consider the long term affect and not disregard the what people want. I know of 2 incidents when the Council have overridden what the locals have voted for!
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I see very few cycles in the cycle lane. I would not feel safe learning to ride a bike again & using a cycle lane. I much prefer to walk. I can feel the difference in my chest due to pollution when I walk during the day verses walking at night. Part of Heaton Park recently had been closed off to the public as someone decided that an event is more important than trying to find a way for the event to be held without so much inconvenience to so many people prior to the event itself.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	When Olympic contestant puts her mental health before her country, you know how important that is, yet building on green belt removes that good feeling and forces people into a concrete jungle. So no, I have no 'legal compliance' only common sense that further down the line, life has to be pleasurable for as many people as possible.
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Justified? Of course you need more energy, heating, shops for everyone. Which is more important - going on holiday and enjoying the pleasure of sun, sea, exploring and relaxation or going to an area full of hotels and shops because they provided work. Not going to the countryside because it's now full of house, shops, bars, parked cars etc.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	There's more to life than it being legal. Perhaps your mind doesn't have a problem with looking a bricks & mortar and vehicles...
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 4 Resilience
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Due to Covid-19 many shops are now no longer there. Why not convert these shops? Why do you need to build on green belt areas?
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I would like to think there is no one stupid enough to think that building in such areas is good because it's profitable
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	We all need clean air
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 7 Resource Efficiency
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	No comment

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	People need to work, take a pride in their achievements.
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Existing houses appear to be unable to maintain their homes due to lack of tradesmen who are not proud of their standard of work. More houses = more vehicles, more places are needed to park, more congestion. (Does that mean we now have to pay congestion charges because you have inputted more people into our area clogging up the roads so emergency vehicles can't travel efficiently?)
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	As long as the new housing isn't on green belt
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Please do not build on green belt
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	If the trade people are properly qualified, not corners are cut, money is not more important than taking pride in their work and NOT building on green belt...
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Will you ensure all these steps are positive and we have plenty of "greenery"?
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Please keep top your word and keep our green belt, parks and natural beauty spots
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound



## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	That would be wonderful if you kept your promise to policy JP - G 7
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There should be more green belt not less. Covid-19 has taught us that one of the most important things in our lives fresh air & green belt areas. Less = a concrete jungle unless the building as 100% made from natural materials. Less greenery affects our mental health - have you travelled up the motorway up to Scotland. Once you reach The Lake District the travelling becomes a joy & a pleasure. Travelling down to London appears to increase stress due to the lack of greenery. Why would I vote for less green belt?
<b>Family Name</b>	Koffman
<b>Given Name</b>	Joanne
<b>Person ID</b>	1286915
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details</b>	There should be a "not sure" column. I feel that land not designated as Green Belt could be built on. But some people are only interested in money and

**of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.**

materialism. Sometimes Councils / businesses / people find loop holes and they "win" and in the long term, we have to pay the price and "suffer" as a result of their greed and short sightedness.